



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C10G 65/08	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/05739 (43) International Publication Date: 12 February 1998 (12.02.98)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP97/04167</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 29 July 1997 (29.07.97)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 96401718.0 1 August 1996 (01.08.96) EP (34) Countries for which the regional or international application was filed: FR et al.</p> <p>(71) Applicant: SHELL INTERNATIONALE RESEARCH MAATSCHAPPIJ B.V. [NL/NL]; Carel van Bylandtlaan 30, NL-2596 HR The Hague (NL).</p> <p>(72) Inventors: BARRE, Guy; Route de Caen, F-76530 Grand Couronne (FR). VAN DEN BERG, Johannes, Petrus; Carel van Bylandtlaan 30, NL-2596 HR The Hague (NL). GRANDVALLET, Pierre; Route de Caen, F-76530 Grand Couronne (FR).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>
<p>(54) Title: HYDROTREATING PROCESS</p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>Process for hydrotreating a hydrocarbon distillate fraction in a single stage, which process comprises passing the hydrocarbon distillate fraction downwardly over a stacked bed of two hydrotreating catalysts in the presence of hydrogen, wherein the stacked bed comprises (a) an upper catalyst bed consisting of a hydrotreating catalyst comprising from 0.1 to 15 % by weight of at least one noble metal selected from platinum, palladium and iridium, and from 2 to 40 % by weight of at least one metal selected from tungsten, chromium, a Group VIIB metal and a metal of the actinium series supported on an acidic refractory oxide carrier, said weight percentages indicating the amount of metal based on the total weight of carrier, and (b) a lower catalyst bed consisting of a hydrotreating catalyst comprising from 1 to 15 % by weight of a non-noble Group VIII metal and from 1 to 25 % by weight of a Group VIB metal on an amorphous inorganic refractory oxide carrier, said weight percentages indicating the amount of metal based on the total weight of catalyst, and recovering a liquid hydrocarbon oil product having a reduced content of aromatics and a reduced heteroatom content.</p>		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

HYDROTREATING PROCESS

The present invention relates to a single stage process for hydrotreating hydrocarbon distillate fractions using a stacked bed of dedicated hydrotreating catalysts.

5 The expression "hydrotreating" as used in this specification refers to hydrogenation, hydro-desulphurisation and hydrodenitrogenation.

Stacked bed hydrotreating processes are known in the art. For instance, in European Patent Application
10 Publication No. 0,203,228 a single stage hydrotreating process is disclosed, wherein certain hydrocarbon oils having a tendency to deactivate hydrotreating catalysts by coke formation are passed over a stacked bed of two hydrotreating catalysts in the presence of hydrogen. The
15 stacked bed comprises an upper zone containing a hydrotreating catalyst comprising a Group VIB metal component, a (non-noble) Group VIII metal component and phosphorus supported on an inorganic oxide carrier and a lower zone containing a similar hydrotreating catalyst
20 but with no or hardly any phosphorus.

In UK Patent Application Publication No. 2,073,770 a process is disclosed for hydroprocessing heavy hydrocarbon feedstocks, wherein the feedstock is
25 contacted with two hydroprocessing catalysts, suitably arranged in a stacked bed configuration, which catalysts have different pore size distributions. Each catalyst comprises a refractory ceramic oxide support and as
30 hydrogenation component one or more components of Group VIB metals and (non-noble) Group VIII metals. Promoters, such as phosphorus and titanium oxide, may also be present. Suitable heavy feedstocks are those

exemplified by deasphalted atmospheric and vacuum residues, vacuum gas oils and mixtures thereof. Suitably, the process is operated under hydrocracking conditions with the carrier of the upper zone catalyst (e.g. alumina) being less acidic than the lower zone catalyst (e.g. silica-alumina).

A stacked bed process is also disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,913,797. In the process disclosed a hydrocarbon feed containing waxy components and sulphur- and nitrogen-containing compounds is first subjected to hydrotreatment and subsequently to a dewaxing treatment. The catalyst used in the hydrotreatment stage is a conventional hydrotreating catalyst, whilst the catalyst used for dewaxing suitably comprises a noble metal supported on a zeolite beta carrier. Between both stages a purification treatment may be carried for removing sulphur and nitrogen compounds from the hydrotreated effluent. The process may be carried out in a stacked bed mode with a bed of the hydrotreating catalyst on top of a bed of the dewaxing catalyst.

Dedicated hydrocracking processes which may be carried out in a stacked bed mode are also well known in the art. Examples of such processes are disclosed in European Patent Application Publication Nos. 0,310,164; 0,310,165; 0,428,224 and 0,671,457 and in U.S. Patent No. 5,112,472. The catalysts used in these hydrocracking processes all comprise at least one hydrogenation component of a Group VIB and/or Group VIII metal supported on various carriers. However, these processes do not normally involve the use of noble metal-based catalysts, whilst in all processes a substantial part of the hydrocarbons boiling above 370 °C is converted into lower boiling material.

Although many of the prior art hydrotreating processes employing a stacked bed configuration perform

satisfactorily in terms of reducing the content of sulphur and nitrogen species present in the feed, there is still room for improvement and in particular in terms of reducing the content of aromatic species present in the feed. Particularly from an environmental viewpoint it is highly desired to reduce the content of aromatics as much as possible. Aromatic compounds reduction may furthermore also be desirable for reaching certain technical quality specifications, such as cetane number in the case of automotive gas oils and smoke point in the case of jet fuels. The present invention therefore aims to provide a process wherein hydrocarbon distillate fractions ranging from naphtha to gasoils are effectively hydrotreated in a single stage by employing a stacked bed configuration, thereby significantly reducing both the aromatics content and the content of sulphur and nitrogen species present in the feed without substantial hydro-cracking occurring.

Accordingly, the present invention relates to a process for hydrotreating a hydrocarbon distillate fraction in a single stage, which process comprises passing the hydrocarbon distillate fraction downwardly over a stacked bed of two hydrotreating catalysts in the presence of hydrogen, wherein the stacked bed comprises (a) an upper catalyst bed consisting of a hydrotreating catalyst comprising from 0.1 to 15% by weight of at least one noble metal selected from platinum, palladium and iridium, and from 2 to 40% by weight of at least one metal selected from tungsten, chromium, a Group VIIB metal and a metal of the actinium series supported on an acidic refractory oxide carrier, said weight percentages indicating the amount of metal based on the total weight of carrier, and (b) a lower catalyst bed consisting of a hydrotreating catalyst comprising from 1 to 15% by weight of a non-

noble metal Group VIII metal and from 1 to 25% by weight, of a Group VIB metal on an amorphous inorganic refractory oxide carrier, said weight percentages indicating the amount of metal based on the total weight of catalyst, and recovering a liquid hydrocarbon oil product having a reduced content of aromatics and a reduced heteroatom content.

The hydrocarbon distillate fraction to be used as a feed to the present process may be any distillate fraction ranging from naphtha to gasoil obtained by distillation or fractionation of a hydrocarbon stream. Such hydrocarbon stream may be a crude oil, but may also be a hydrocarbon stream obtained from a conversion operation, such as a cracking operation. Accordingly, suitable feedstocks include naphtha fractions, kerosene fractions and gasoil fractions, which fractions may be either obtained as a straight-run fraction from the atmospheric distillation of a crude oil or as the vacuum distillate fraction from the vacuum distillation of an atmospheric residue. Distillate fractions obtained by fractionation or distillation of a cracked effluent, particularly of a thermally cracked effluent, may also be used as feedstock to the process according to the present invention. An example of such a feedstock is a cracked gasoil. Mixtures of two or more fractions from different sources may also be applied. In general, the present process has been found useful for hydrotreating hydrocarbon distillate fractions having a 10% by weight boiling point (that is, the temperature below which 10% by weight of a hydrocarbon fraction has its boiling point) of at least 30 °C, preferably at least 100 °C, and a 90% by weight boiling point of at most 520 °C. Even more preferred feedstocks are those hydrocarbon distillate fractions having a 10% by weight boiling point of at least 175 °C and a 90% by weight boiling point of

at most 450 °C. Straight run gasoils, light gasoils, cracked gasoils, light cycle oils and mixtures of two or more of these, accordingly, are examples of feedstocks most suitably applied.

5 The hydrotreating process according to the present invention is a single stage process involving the use of a stacked bed of two different hydrotreating catalysts. This implies that there is no intermediate purification treatment, such as a stripping step to remove any gaseous sulphur and nitrogen species formed, between both
10 catalyst beds constituting the stacked bed. Consequently, the stream which leaves the first catalyst bed is directly and completely passed over the second catalyst bed. It will be understood that this is advantageous from a process efficiency viewpoint, but it also implies that
15 the lower bed catalyst should be resistant towards the sulphur and nitrogen species formed in the upper bed -mainly hydrogen sulphide and ammonia- and should accordingly not be deactivated by those species. On the
20 other hand, the upper bed catalyst should have a sufficiently high tolerance towards the organic sulphur and nitrogen present in the feed. It has been found that using a hydrotreating catalyst comprising platinum and/or palladium and/or iridium and at least one metal selected
25 from tungsten, chromium and a metal of the actinium series supported on an acidic refractory oxide carrier as the upper bed catalyst and a hydrotreating catalyst comprising a non-noble Group VIII metal and a Group VIB metal on an amorphous inorganic refractory oxide carrier
30 as the lower bed catalyst, can adequately meet the aforesaid requirements as to tolerance towards sulphur and nitrogen species.

35 The upper bed catalyst is a hydrotreating catalyst comprising from 0.1 to 15% by weight, preferably from 1 to 10% by weight, of at least one noble metal selected

from platinum, palladium and iridium, and from 2 to 40% by weight, preferably from 2 or 5 to 30% by weight, of at least one metal selected from tungsten, chromium, a Group VIIB metal and a metal of the actinium series supported on an acidic refractory oxide carrier, said weight percentages indicating the amount of metal based on the total weight of carrier. Several of these catalysts are known and have been described in European Patent Application Publication No. 0,653,242; International Patent Application Publication No. WO 96/03208 and in International Patent Application Publication No. WO 97/05948. Suitable Group VIIB metals are manganese and rhenium, of which rhenium is preferred. The actinium series refers to those elements of the Periodic Table of Elements having an atomic number ranging from 89 (Actinium, Ac) to 103 (Lawrencium, Lr). These elements are also sometimes referred to as actinides. For the purpose of the present invention the enriched forms of the actinides, i.e. the radio-active isotopes, are not likely to be used in practice. Preferred catalysts are those comprising palladium as the noble metal and tungsten, chromium, rhenium or uranium as the second metal, whilst even more preferred catalysts are those comprising palladium and either rhenium or uranium.

The acidic refractory oxide carrier of the upper bed catalyst suitably comprises a zeolite, alumina, amorphous silica-alumina, fluorinated alumina, phyllosilicate or mixtures of two or more of these. Suitable zeolites include aluminosilicates like ferrierite, ZSM-5, ZSM-23, SSZ-32, mordenite, zeolite beta and zeolites of the faujasite type, such as faujasite and the synthetic zeolite Y. A particularly preferred aluminosilicate zeolite is zeolite Y, which is usually used in a modified, i.e. dealuminated, form. A particularly useful

modified zeolite Y is one having a unit cell size below 24.60 Å, preferably from 24.20 to 24.45 Å and even more preferably from 24.20 to 24.35 Å, and a SiO₂/Al₂O₃ molar ratio in the range of from 5 or 10 to 150, e.g. from 5, 10 or 15 to 110 or from 5, 10, 15 or 30 to 90. Such carriers are known in the art and examples are, for instance, described in European Patent Application Publication Nos. 0,247,678; 0,303,332 and 0,512,652. Modified zeolite Y having an increased alkali(ne) metal -usually sodium- content, such as described in European Patent Application Publication No. 0,519,573, can also be suitably applied.

In addition to any of the aforementioned carrier materials the carrier may also comprise a binder material. The use of binders in catalyst carriers is well known in the art and suitable binders, then, include inorganic oxides, such as silica, alumina, silica-alumina, boria, zirconia and titania, and clays. The use of silica and/or alumina is preferred for the purpose of the present invention. If present, the binder content of the carrier may vary from 5 to 95% by weight based on total weight of carrier. In a preferred embodiment, the carrier comprises 10 to 60% by weight of binder. A binder content of from 10 to 40% by weight has been found particularly advantageous. For the purpose of the present invention it has, accordingly, been found particularly advantageous to use a refractory oxide carrier comprising a modified zeolite Y as described hereinbefore with alumina as a binder.

The lower bed hydrotreating catalyst comprises from 1 to 15% by weight of a non-noble Group VIII metal and from 1 to 25% by weight, of a Group VIB metal on an amorphous inorganic refractory oxide carrier, said weight percentages indicating the amount of metal based on the total weight of catalyst. Conventional, commercially

5 available hydrotreating catalysts may be used as the lower bed catalysts. Preferred lower bed hydrotreating catalysts comprise nickel (Ni) and/or cobalt (Co) as the Group VIII metal and molybdenum (Mo) and/or tungsten (W) as the Group VIB metal supported on an alumina carrier, which may comprise from 0 to 70% by weight of silica. The use of an alumina carrier, suitably gamma-alumina, which is essentially free of silica is, however, preferred. The lower bed catalyst may suitably further comprise
10 phosphorus (P) as a promoter in an amount of from 0.1 to 5% by weight. Hence, specific examples of suitable lower bed catalysts include NiMo(P)/alumina, CoMo(P)/alumina and NiW/alumina.

15 The volume ratio of upper catalyst bed to lower catalyst bed may vary within wide limits and suitably ranges from 10:90 to 95:5, more suitably 20:80 to 90:10.

The catalytically active metals present on the upper and lower bed catalyst may be present in elemental form, as an oxide, as a sulphide or as a mixture of two or more
20 of these forms. Since in general suitable methods for preparing hydrotreating catalysts involve a final step of calcination in air, the catalytically active metals will at least partially be present as oxides directly after their preparation. Normally such final calcination step
25 will cause substantially all catalytically active metals to be converted into their oxides. In order to make the catalyst suitable for processing sulphur-containing feeds, at least part of the metal components -usually metal oxides- present on the catalyst should be converted
30 into sulphides. This can be attained by presulphiding methods known in the art. Two main groups of presulphiding methods can be distinguished, namely the in situ sulphidation methods and the ex situ sulphidation methods. The in situ methods involve sulphidation of the
35 catalyst after it has been loaded into the reactor,

suitably by contacting the catalyst with a sulphur-containing feed at conditions less severe than normal operating conditions. In situ presulphidation can be carried out at a temperature which is gradually increased from ambient temperature to a temperature of between 150 and 250 °C. The catalyst is to be maintained at this temperature for between 10 and 20 hours. Subsequently, the temperature is to be raised gradually to the operating temperature for the actual hydroconversion process. In general, in situ presulphidation can take place, if the hydrocarbon feedstock has a sulphur content of at least 0.5% by weight, said weight percentage indicating the amount of elemental sulphur relative to the total amount of feedstock. It will be understood that in situ presulphidation of the catalyst may be advantageous for both process-efficiency and economic reasons. Ex situ presulphiding methods on the other hand involve sulphidation of the catalyst prior to it being loaded into a reactor, usually by contacting the catalyst with a suitable presulphiding agent. Suitable ex situ presulphiding methods are known in the art, such as for instance from European Patent Application Publication Nos. 0,181,254; 0,329,499; 0,448,435 and 0,564,317 and International Patent Application Publication Nos. WO 93/02793 and WO 94/25157. It is preferred in the process according to the present invention that the catalytically active metals are at least partly present in the catalyst as sulphides in both upper and lower bed catalyst. The degree of sulphidation of the metal oxides can be controlled by relevant parameters such as temperature and partial pressures of hydrogen, hydrogen sulphide, water and/or oxygen. Depending on the type of metals involved, the metal oxides may be completely converted into the corresponding sulphides, but there also may be formed an equilibrium state between the

oxides and sulphides of the catalytically active metals. It will be appreciated that in the latter case the catalytically active metals are present both as oxides and as sulphides.

5 The hydrotreating catalysts can be prepared by the conventional methods known in the art. Commonly applied and well known methods involve impregnating the carrier with one or more solutions containing dissolved salts of the catalytically active metals followed by drying and
10 calcining.

 The operating conditions to be applied in the process according to the present invention are such that no substantial hydrocracking occurs, which means that the amount of material formed as a result of cracking and
15 expressed in the weight percentage of material in the hydrotreated product having a boiling point below the initial boiling point of the feed, will be less than 15% by weight, more suitably less than 10% by weight and most suitably less than 6% by weight. Accordingly, the
20 hydrotreating conditions suitably involve an operating temperature in the range of from 200 to 420 °C, preferably from 210 to 380 or 400 °C, and a total pressure in the range of from 10 to 200 bar, preferably from 25 to 100 bar. In addition, the weight hourly space
25 velocity (WHSV) may be in the range from 0.1 to 10 kg of oil per litre of catalyst per hour (kg/l.h), preferably from 0.5 to 5 kg/l.h, whilst the hydrogen to oil ratio is suitably in the range from 100 to 2,000 litres of hydrogen per litre of oil. These operating conditions in
30 combination with the catalysts employed will result in a significant reduction in aromatics contents, in sulphur content and in nitrogen content, whilst at the same time the level of cracking that occurs is minimal.

 The product stream leaving the lower catalyst bed
35 comprises both liquid hydrocarbon product and a gaseous

phase, which is rich in hydrogen but also contains gaseous sulphur and nitrogen species, such as hydrogen sulphide and ammonia formed during the hydrotreating reactions. Recovery of the liquid hydrocarbon oil product having a reduced content of aromatics and a reduced heteroatom content is, consequently, suitably effected by removing the gaseous components from the product stream leaving the lower catalyst bed by known phase separation techniques, such as stripping. An example of a very suitable phase separation method is a four separator system as disclosed in European Patent Application Publication No. 0,336,484. The liquid hydrocarbon product finally recovered has a significantly reduced content of aromatics as well as a strongly reduced heteroatom content. The gaseous fraction recovered may be treated to remove inter alia ammonia and hydrogen sulphide, for instance by scrubbing techniques, after which the cleaned hydrogen-rich gas can be totally or partly recycled to the reactor inlet. Well known scrubbing techniques are those wherein aqueous solutions of alkanolamines, such as mono-ethanolamine, di-ethanolamine, di-isopropanolamine or mixtures of any one of these with sulfolane, are used as absorbents.

The invention will now be further illustrated by the following examples without restricting the scope of the invention to these particular embodiments.

Example 1

An acidic carrier consisting of 80% by weight dealuminated zeolite Y (unit cell size of 24.25 Å and silica/alumina molar ratio of 80) and 20% by weight of an alumina binder was used.

A sample of this carrier was impregnated with an aqueous uranyl nitrate ($\text{UO}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) solution to reach 20% by weight U_3O_8 (corresponding with 17.0% by weight of U; said weight percentages being based on the weight of

the carrier). The partially prepared catalyst was then dried and calcined for 2 hours at 400 °C, after which impregnation with an aqueous solution of H_2PdCl_4 took place to reach a PdO content of 5% by weight

5. (corresponding with 4.3% by weight of Pd). Finally, the completed catalyst was dried and calcined for 2 hours at 350 °C in air. The catalyst is further referred to as PdU/Y.

- 10 A bed of 20 cm³ NiMo/alumina catalyst (3.0% wt Ni, 13.0% wt Mo) admixed with 80 cm³ of silicon carbide particles (SiC; diameter 0.21 mm) was placed in a reactor. On top of this catalyst bed a bed consisting of a mixture of 20 cm³ of the above PdU/Y and 80 cm³ of the same SiC particles was loaded. The stacked bed thus
- 15 obtained was presulphided according to the method disclosed in EP-A-0,181,254. This method involved impregnation with di-tertiary nonyl polysulphide diluted in n-heptane, followed by drying for 2 hours at 150 °C under nitrogen at atmospheric pressure. The catalysts
- 20 were subsequently activated by bringing the reactor on a total pressure of 50 bar with the help of hydrogen at a gas rate of 500 Nl/kg. The temperature was raised from ambient temperature to 250 °C in 2 hours, followed by the introduction of feed and increase of the temperature from
- 25 250 to 310 °C at a rate of 10 °C/hr. The temperature of 310 °C was maintained for 100 hours.

- After the activation was completed, a feed having the characteristics as indicated in Table I (BP is boiling point, IBP and FBP refer to initial and final boiling
- 30 point, respectively) was passed over the stacked bed. The feed was a blend of 75% by weight of a straight run gasoil and 25% by weight of a light cycle oil. Process conditions included a weight average bed temperature (WABT) for the upper catalyst bed of 350 °C, a total
- 35 pressure of 50 bar, a gas rate of 500 Nl/kg and a weight

hourly space velocity (WHSV) of 1.0 kg/l.h. Sulphur specification of the product was set at 10 parts per million on a weight basis (ppmw).

TABLE I Feedstock characteristics

S (%wt)	1.37	BP Distribution (°C)	
N (ppmw)	228	IBP	150
Aromatics (mmol/100 g)		10 %wt	229
Mono	77.3	50 %wt	287
Di	55.3	90 %wt	357
Poly	20.4	FBP	424

5 The lower bed WABT required to meet the sulphur specification, level of cracking expressed in % by weight of the material formed which has a boiling point below the IBP of the feed (i.e. 150 °C), nitrogen content (in ppmw) and conversions (in % by weight) of mono-, di- and polyaromatics (tri+) were determined. In determining the conversions of the various aromatics it is assumed that aromatics are hydrogenated through a sequential reaction pathway, i.e. it is assumed that the polyaromatics are converted into diaromatics, diaromatics into monoaromatics and monoaromatics into naphthenics. This is a valid assumption, since it is known that hydrogenation of an aromatic ring contained in a polynuclear structure generally becomes kinetically less favourable as the number of aromatics ring in a polynuclear structure decreases. The monoaromatics which are found in the product may hence come from three sources: (i) from the unconverted monoaromatics already present in the feed, (ii) from converted diaromatics which were originally present in the feed and (iii) from converted diaromatics which, in return, originate from converted polyaromatics present in the feed.

25 The results are indicated in Table II.

Example 2

Three further catalysts were prepared in the same way as in Example 1 using the same carrier, except that instead of the aqueous uranyl nitrate solution three other impregnating solutions were used comprising W-, Re- or Cr-ions, respectively. All three catalysts had the same Pd-content (4.3% by weight) as the PdU catalyst of Example 1. The catalysts prepared were:

PdW/Y: using aqueous ammonium metatungstate

impregnating solution to reach 20 %wt

WO₃ (corresponding with 15.9 %wt of W),

PdRe/Y: using aqueous perrhenic acid (HReO₄)

impregnating solution to reach 20 %wt ReO₂

(corresponding with 17.1 %wt of Re), and

PdCr/Y: using an aqueous chromium(III)nitrate

(Cr(NO₃)₃·9H₂O) impregnating solution to reach

20% by weight Cr₂O₃ (corresponding with 13.7% by weight of Cr).

After each of the above three catalysts was arranged in a stacked bed with a bottom bed of NiMo/alumina catalyst in the same way as in Example 1, the test procedure as described in Example 1 was followed for each stacked bed using the feedstock having the characteristics as indicated in Table I.

The results are listed in Table II.

TABLE II Process conditions and performance

Stacked bed with:	PdU/Y	PdW/Y	PdCr/Y	PdRe/Y
S (ppmw)	10	10	10	10
WABT _{lower bed} (°C)	364	367	367	362
N (ppmw)	0.8	3.4	1.8	0.6
cracking (%wt 150 °C ⁻)	3.5	5.0	3.5	3.5
Aromatics conv. (%)				
Mono	52.3	27.4	31.4	52.1
Di	95.9	90.6	93.1	95.8
Poly	95.1	88.7	92.9	94.9

From Table II it can be seen that the stacked bed hydrotreating process according to the present invention has an excellent performance in terms of aromatics conversion, denitrogenation and desulphurisation, whilst at the level of cracking which occurs is reduced to a minimum.

Between the various stacked beds, however, there are some differences in performance. It is clear that the most preferred stacked beds with PdU/Y or PdRe/Y as the upper bed show the best results: the WABT required for reaching 10 ppmw sulphur specification is lower than for the stacked beds with PdW/Y or PdCr/Y as the upper bed, whilst denitrogenation activity and monoaromatics conversion are also higher. Still, the stacked beds comprising the PdW/Y and the PdCr/Y exhibit a very good overall performance.

C L A I M S

1. Process for hydrotreating a hydrocarbon distillate fraction in a single stage, which process comprises passing the hydrocarbon distillate fraction downwardly over a stacked bed of two hydrotreating catalysts in the presence of hydrogen, wherein the stacked bed comprises

5 (a) an upper catalyst bed consisting of a hydrotreating catalyst comprising from 0.1 to 15% by weight of at least one noble metal selected from platinum, palladium and iridium, and from 2 to 40% by weight of
10 at least one metal selected from tungsten, chromium, a Group VIIB metal and a metal of the actinium series supported on an acidic refractory oxide carrier, said weight percentages indicating the amount of metal based on the total weight of carrier, and

15 (b) a lower catalyst bed consisting of a hydrotreating catalyst comprising from 1 to 15% by weight of a non-noble Group VIII metal and from 1 to 25% by weight, of a Group VIB metal on an amorphous inorganic refractory oxide carrier, said weight percentages
20 indicating the amount of metal based on the total weight of catalyst,

and recovering a liquid hydrocarbon oil product having a reduced content of aromatics and a reduced heteroatom content.

25 2. Process according to claim 1, wherein the hydrocarbon distillate fraction has a 10% by weight boiling point of at least 100 °C and a 90% by weight boiling point of at most 520 °C.

30 3. Process according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the upper bed hydrotreating catalyst comprises palladium and either rhenium or uranium.

4. Process according to any one of claims 1 to 3,
wherein the acidic refractory oxide carrier of the upper
bed catalyst comprises a zeolite, alumina, amorphous
silica-alumina, fluorinated alumina, phyllosilicate or
5 mixtures of two or more of these.
 5. Process according to claim 4, wherein the refractory
oxide carrier comprises modified zeolite Y with alumina
as a binder.
 6. Process according to any one of the preceding claims,
10 wherein the lower bed hydrotreating catalyst comprises
nickel and/or cobalt as the Group VIII metal and
molybdenum and/or tungsten as the Group VIB metal
supported on an alumina carrier.
 7. Process according to claim 6, wherein the lower bed
15 catalyst further comprises from 0.1 to 5% by weight of
phosphorus.
-

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/EP 97/04167

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 C10G65/08

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 C10G

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 2 770 578 A (HAENSEL) 13 November 1956 see the whole document	1-7
P, A	EP 0 743 351 A (SHELL INT RESEARCH) 20 November 1996 see the whole document	1-7
A	US 4 913 797 A (ALBINSON KENNETH R ET AL) 3 April 1990 cited in the application	
A	EP 0 653 242 A (SHELL INT RESEARCH) 17 May 1995 cited in the application	
P, A	WO 97 05948 A (SHELL INT RESEARCH ; SHELL CANADA LTD (CA)) 20 February 1997 cited in the application	
-/-		

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"A" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

25 November 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

04/12/1997

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Michiels, P

PCT/EP 97/04167

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 97/04167

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2770578 A	13-11-56	NONE	
EP 0743351 A	20-11-96	CA 2176844 A CN 1137059 A	20-11-96 04-12-96
US 4913797 A	03-04-90	NONE	
EP 0653242 A	17-05-95	AU 7763494 A BR 9404315 A CA 2134906 A CZ 9402679 A FI 945166 A HU 72003 A JP 7185353 A ZA 9408620 A	01-06-95 04-07-95 05-05-95 12-07-95 05-05-95 28-03-96 25-07-95 27-06-95
WO 9705948 A	20-02-97	AU 6821096 A	05-03-97
WO 9603208 A	08-02-96	AU 3116495 A CA 2195452 A EP 0804286 A FI 970219 A NO 970222 A ZA 9506008 A	22-02-96 08-02-96 05-11-97 17-01-97 17-01-97 22-02-96
EP 0203228 A	03-12-86	US 4776945 A BR 8503786 A CA 1272153 A CN 1006230 B JP 1911448 C JP 6033364 B JP 61266490 A	11-10-88 09-12-86 31-07-90 27-12-89 09-03-95 02-05-94 26-11-86
GB 1191471 A	13-05-70	NONE	